

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/727,603	LE BIEZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742	

All Participants:

(1) Ngoclan T. Mai.

(2) Ramus Setea, L0037.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16,20,21, 22, 25, 28 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 4,595,429

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Attorney agrees to cancel claims 20 and its dependent claims as these claims might be obvious over the above reference.
 Attorney also agree to amend claims 16 and 22 as the limitation of calcium is confusing.*

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)